

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

January 29, 2004

Applicant(s): Paolo Guiseppe FAZZINI
For : DE-INTERLACING OF VIDEO DATA

Serial No. : 10/600 246 Group: 2614
Confirmation No.: 5552
Filed : June 20, 2003 Examiner: Unknown
Atty. Docket No.: R&G 360

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PRIORITY DOCUMENT TRANSMITTAL, AND CLAIM OF PRIORITY


Sir:

Applicant(s) hereby claim(s) the right of priority based
on Great Britain Serial No. 0310061.7, filed May 1, 2003.

Enclosed are:

- [X] A certified copy of the priority application in
support of the claim of priority.
- [X] Acknowledgment Postal Card.

Respectfully submitted,


Mark L. Maki

MLM\pcq

FLYNN, THIEL, BOUTELL
& TANIS, P.C.
2026 Rambling Road
Kalamazoo, MI 49008-1631
Phone: (269) 381-1156
Fax: (269) 381-5465

Dale H. Thiel	Reg. No. 24 323
David G. Boutell	Reg. No. 25 072
Ronald J. Tanis	Reg. No. 22 724
Terryence F. Chapman	Reg. No. 32 549
Mark L. Maki	Reg. No. 36 589
Liane L. Churney	Reg. No. 40 694
Brian R. Tumm	Reg. No. 36 328
Steven R. Thiel	Reg. No. 53 685
Sidney B. Williams, Jr.	Reg. No. 24 949

Encl: Listed above

122.05/03



U.S. SERIAL NO. 10/600 246

TITLE: DE-INTERLACING OF VIDEO DATA

APPLICANT: PAOLO FAZZANI



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

The Patent Office
Concept House
Cardiff Road
Newport
South Wales
NP10 8QQ

I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation and Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein together with the Statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a Patent (Form 7/77), which was subsequently filed.

In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.


In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, P.L.C. or PLC.

Re-registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.

Signed

Dated 21 July 2003

Patents Act 1977
(Rule 16)



The
**Patent
Office**

1/77

02HAY03 E804351-1 D01631
P01/7700 0.00-0310061.7

Request for grant of a patent

(See the notes on the back of this form. You must also get an explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help you fill in this form.)

The Patent Office

Cardiff Road
Newport
Gwent NP9 1RH

Fee: £0

1. Your reference	AJR/45598.GB01		
2. Patent application number (The Patent Office will fill in this part)	01 MAY 2003	0310061 7	
3. Full name, address and postcode of the or of each applicant (underline all surnames)	IMAGINATION TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED Home Park Estate Kings Langley Hertfordshire WD4 8LZ UNITED KINGDOM		
Patents ADP number (if you know it)	07743180001		
If the applicant is a corporate body, give the country/state of incorporation	UNITED KINGDOM		
4. Title of the invention	De-Interlacing of Video Data		
5. Full name, address and postcode in the United Kingdom to which all correspondence relating to this form and translation should be sent	Reddie & Grose 16 Theobalds Road LONDON WC1X 8PL		
Patents ADP number (if you know it)	91001 ✓		
6. If you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, give the country and the date of filing of the or of each of these earlier applications and (if you know it) the or each application number	Country	Priority application (If you know it)	Date of filing (day/month/year)
7. If this application is divided or otherwise derived from an earlier UK application, give the number and the filing date of the earlier application	Number of earlier application		Date of filing (day/month/year)
8. Is a statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent required in support of this request? (Answer 'Yes' if:			
a) any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or			
b) there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or	YES		
c) any named applicant is a corporate body.			
See note (d))			

9. Enter the number of sheets for any of the following items you are filing with this form. Do not count copies of the same document.

Continuation sheets of this form

Description	8	✓
Claim(s)	2	✓
Abstract	1	✓
Drawing(s)	4	+ 4

fine

10. If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item.

Priority documents

Translations of priority documents

Statement of inventorship and right to grant of a patent (Patents Form 7/77)

Request for preliminary examination and search (Patents Form 9/77)

1 ✓

Request for substantive examination (Patents Form 10/77)

1 ✓

Any other documents (please specify)

11. I/We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application.

Signature

Date

1 May 2003

A J Robson

12. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom

A J ROBSON
020-7242 0901

Warning

After an application for a patent has been filed, the Comptroller of the Patent Office will consider whether publication or communication of the invention should be prohibited or restricted under Section 22 of the Patents Act 1977. You will be informed if it is necessary to prohibit or restrict your invention in this way. Furthermore, if you live in the United Kingdom, Section 23 of the Patents Act 1977 stops you from applying for a patent abroad without first getting written permission from the Patent Office unless an application has been filed at least 6 weeks beforehand in the United Kingdom for a patent for the same invention and either no direction prohibiting publication or communication has been given, or such direction has been revoked.


Notes

- If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 0645 500505.
- Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.
- If there is not enough space for all the relevant details on any part of this form, please continue on a separate sheet of paper and write "see continuation sheet" in the relevant part(s). Any continuation sheet should be attached to this form.
- If you have answered 'Yes' Patents Form 7/77 will need to be filed.
- Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.
- For details of the fee and ways to pay please contact the Patent Office.

Statement of inventorship and of
right to grant of a patent

The Patent Office

Cardiff Road
Newport
Gwent NP9 1RH



1. Your reference	AJR/45598.GB01
2. Patent application number (if you know it)	0310061.7
3. Full name of the or of each applicant	IMAGINATION TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED
4. Title of the invention	De-Interlacing of video data
5. State how the applicant(s) derived the right from the inventor(s) to be granted a patent	The applicant acquires the right to grant of a patent by virtue of the inventor's employment
6. How many, if any, additional Patents Forms 7/77 are attached to this form? (see note (c))	0
7.	I/We believe that the person(s) named over the page (and on any extra copies of this form) is/are the inventor(s) of the invention which the above patent application relates to. Signature: <i>A J Robson</i> Date: 16 June 2003
8. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom	A J ROBSON 0171-242 0901

- a) If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 0645 500505.
- b) Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.
- c) If there are more than three inventors, please write the name and addresses of the other inventors on the back of another Patents Form 7/77 and attach it to this form.
- d) When an application does not declare any priority, or declares priority from an earlier UK application, you must provide enough copies of this form so that the Patent Office can send one to each inventor who is not an applicant.
- e) Once you have filled in this form you must remember to sign and date it.

Enter the full names, addresses and postcodes of the inventors in the boxes and underline the surnames

FAZZINI, PAOLO GIUSEPPE
47 Farthing Close
Watford
Herts WD18 0BT
UNITED KINGDOM

Patents ADP number (if you know it) 08653347001

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

Reminder

Have you signed the form?

De-Interlacing of Video Data

The present invention relates to a method and apparatus for increasing the resolution of a video image of the type that is particularly useful for TV signals (and, in general, any field based image transmission) when it is necessary to reconstruct missing information.

Background to the Invention

Television and video images are typically displayed using a 2:1 interlace system. Resolution can be increased by converting the interlaced image to a 1:1 progressive scan image in which each field is applied to all the display lines instead of to alternate display lines.

A known conversion technique is described in EP-A-0192292. In this, pixels in three consecutive display lines from adjacent first and second 2:1 interlace fields are examined. A pair of pixels P and Q are selected from each of two different lines in the second field. These are two pixels that must closely resemble each other. A further pixel D is taken from a line in the first field and is compared with pixel P and Q to derive a median Y. This value is used to generate a new pixel Y in the second field. Use of this technique can lead to aliasing effects being generated in the thus derived progressive scan image.

An improvement is described in US 5001563. In this, the median Y is compared with D. If the two differ by more than a predetermined threshold then the new pixel Y is formed from an average of P and Q. This can lead to artefacts being generated in images where two or more pairs of pixels have a high degree of resemblance.

A further technique is described in US 5532751. In this, variations between pixels in an image are evaluated to detect edges or contours. If the variation between pixels is below a threshold, the orientation of an edge is deemed to have been successfully estimated and a new pixel is formed from the average of the pixels lying around the estimated orientation of the edge. If the estimate

of edge orientation is unsuccessful, a new pixel is formed from the average of two vertically aligned pixels. This technique generates artefacts in pictures with two or more pairs of pixels with high mutual resemblance.

A further improvement of the technique is disclosed in US 6133957. In this, the variation between pixels or sets of pixels are computed to reconstruct borders. Two variations are chosen from the ones with smallest value and a pixel is generated as a weighted average of the pixels used to derive the variations.

This technique generates objectionable artefacts in very detailed scenes, when the reconstructed pixel may not match the pixels above and below.

Summary of the Invention

Embodiments of the invention address the problem of reconstructing borders or edges from an interlaced image when converted to a progressive scan image particularly when the image is part of a sequence including fast motion. In such cases, it may not be effective to use sequence data from adjacent fields, as they could be completely uncorrelated in time. Starting with the only field available at time t , which represents the fifty percent of the whole frame, and which is displayed on alternate horizontal lines, the new algorithm finds averages of pairs of pixels symmetrically situated with respect to the pixel to be derived. The average corresponding to the most resembling pair of pixels is the new pixel.

In finding the average the algorithm also performs a check on the vertical correlation of all the computed averages. If the correlation is not sufficiently high the average value is discarded. If no suitable average is found (no average passes the test), the new pixel is computed as a (potentially mathematically different) average between the pixels directly above and below. The above procedure is intended to minimize the artefacts related to a poor vertical correlation of the reconstructing pixels with respect to the other ones.

In preferred embodiments of the invention the vertical correlation of all the pixels is analysed. In particular, when reconstructing borders in a fast motion sequence of a detailed scene there is a high risk of generating a noisy frame as a result of the incorrect information coming from the edge detection part of the procedure. In such cases, the algorithm tries to find the best edge-compliant average of corresponding pixels, which also preserves the vertical correlation of the whole image thereby avoiding one-pixel objectionable spikes.

Brief Description of the Drawings

A preferred embodiment of the invention will now be described in detail by way of example with reference to the accompanying drawings in which:

Figure 1 shows schematically how pixels are compared in an embodiment of the invention;

Figure 2 shows schematically of the testing of a new pixel against the values of the pixels above and below;

Figure 3 shows how pairs of pixels are compared in an improved embodiment; and

Figure 4 shows a block diagram of an embodiment of the invention.

Detailed Description of Preferred Embodiments

Figure 1 shows how pixels are selected to compute the averages and differences between pixels in a single frame. The differences and the averages are computed along the imaginary lines connecting two pixels symmetrically opposite with respect to the pixels to be reconstructed. Every average is tested against the values of the pixels above and below the pixels to be reconstructed as shown in figure 1 and only the ones passing the test are subject to further processing. When the set of vertically correlated averages have been fully populated, the one corresponding to the best match for the pixels to be reconstructed is selected.

The procedure is performed as follows:

Stage a.

With reference to the figure 1, differences in colour (RGB model) between pairs of pixels coupled by the imaginary lines are computed. These pixels both come from the same field in an interlaced image.

$$D_k = ((P_i.R - P_j.R) * (P_i.R - P_j.R) + (P_i.G - P_j.G) * (P_i.G - P_j.G) + (P_i.B - P_j.B) * (P_i.B - P_j.B)).$$

Where D_k is the k -difference (among seven, for the case shown in figure 1 but the rows can be longer or shorter), P_i and P_j are pixels symmetrically placed at either end of a line passing through the pixel to be reconstructed, and R, G, B are the three components of colour (Red, Green, Blue, RGB colour model). The difference value D_k is thus derived for each of the pairs of pixels linked by the imaginary lines in figure 1.

Stage b.

With reference to the figure 1, averages in colour between the pixels coupled by the imaginary lines in figure 1 are computed

$$C_k.R = (P_i.R + P_j.R) * 0.5$$

$$C_k.G = (P_i.G + P_j.G) * 0.5$$

$$C_k.B = (P_i.B + P_j.B) * 0.5$$

Where C_k is the average colour and the remaining symbols are as defined in stage a.

Stage c.

The colour computed in the stage b is tested against the colour of the pixels situated above and below the reconstructing pixels (figure 2).

-----With the usual symbology:

Condition

(Ck.R<=Ab.R) and (Ck.R>=Be.R) and
 (Ck.G<=Ab.G) and (Ck.G>=Be.G) and
 (Ck.B<=Ab.B) and (Ck.B>=Be.B)

or

(Ck.R>=Ab.R) and (Ck.R<=Be.R) and
 (Ck.G>=Ab.G) and (Ck.G<=Be.G) and
 (Ck.B>=Ab.B) and (Ck.B<=Be.B)

Where Ab is the above pixel and Be the one below. Thus the value of the pixel is tested to determine if it falls between the values of the pixels above and below. Only the averages passing the test are included in the set of possible colours for the pixel to be reconstructed.

Stage d.

The set of the averages built in the *stage c*, is ordered using as the ordering criterion the value of the differences D_k computed in the *stage a*. The pixel that has the minimum difference between the pairs of pixels from which it was derived is selected as the new reconstructed pixel for inclusion in a progressive scan image derived from the interlaced image.

Computing the differences in the way specified in *stage a*, is an example of state a norm in two-dimensional space. In general whatever norm could be defined. In general a norm in a vector space is only constrained to follow three properties:

- (1) $\text{norm}(v) \geq 0$
- (2) $\text{norm}(a*v) = \text{abs}(a)*\text{norm}(v)$
- (3) $\text{norm}(v+w) \leq \text{norm}(v) + \text{norm}(w)$

where 'v', 'w' are vectors and 'a' is scalar.

Special cases of this norm in the vector space - let's say - R^2 are:

- (1) $\text{norm1} := v \rightarrow \sqrt{v_x^2 + v_y^2}$
- (2) $\text{norm2} := v \rightarrow \text{abs}(v_x) + \text{abs}(v_y)$

both of them satisfy the above constraints and many other could be defined.

In the above described procedure differences and averages are computed between pairs of single pixels. It is also possible to compute differences and averages between sets of pixels in order to increase the angle of resolution of the imaginary lines between symmetrically placed pixels. In figure 4 this is shown as, considering differences between single pixels and pairs of pixels from each line and this increases this resolution. In general, averages and differences can be computed between any set of corresponding pixels as well as single pixels.

Also, the procedure can be applied to all the components of the colour (in the case shown the R,G and B components of the RGB model) or only some of them. For example a very useful approximation, when computing the differences, is to use just the luminance component of the YUV colour model. In general, any colour model and component of the model can be in stage a and b.

In stage c the procedure to test the compliancy of the computed averages with the vertical correlation of the picture is explained. To make the algorithm more robust when dealing with very thin lines (for example, when DVD producers sharpen shapes to generate sharper scenes) it is possible to add thresholds to the quantities against which the averages are tested. Those thresholds can be constant values (for cheap and straightforward implementation) or functions of the angle, of the computed differences of the averages themselves.

As a further approximation, it is also possible to test just some components of the averages colour against the corresponding components of the pixels above and below.

A different solution for the stage c, is not for testing just the pixels above or below the one to reconstruct, but also other pixels in the column of the reconstructing pixels spaced by more than one line from the pixel to be reconstructed as well as horizontal or vertical averages in the area of the pixels above and below.

Figure 4 shows a block diagram of the above embodiment.

Pixel data from a frame store is supplied on two input lines YU and YL. As the pixel data is read directly from the frame store, the appropriate opposing pairs of pixels are selected one at a time with the upper pixel provided on line YU and the lower pixel on line YL.

The difference between the pixels of a pair is derived in a subtractor 2. This difference is then given an absolute value in unit 4 before being provided to a selection gate 6.

The average value of the two pixels is determined by combining their values in adder 8 and then dividing by 2 in divider 10. The average value is then provided to a comparator 12 where it is compared with the upper and lower pixels directly above and below the pixel to be reconstructed. If it falls within this range then a one is generated at the output of the comparator. If it falls outside the range then a zero is generated at the output of the comparator. This output from the comparator is used as the selection input on gate 6.

As discussed above, the 1 input to gate 6 receives an absolute value of the difference between the two pixels under consideration. The 0 input to the gate receives the maximum value of the range under consideration. Thus, when the average of a pair of pixels under consideration falls within the range defined by YU and YL directly above and below the pixel under consideration the comparator outputs a one and the absolute value of the difference between the pair of pixels under consideration is output by gate 6. The differences are supplied to a ranking unit 14 where they are placed in rank order with data defining their respective pairings.

At the same time, the average value of a pair of pixels is supplied to a multiplexer selection unit 16 which stores the average values for each pair in turn in various input locations.

When all the pairs of pixels have been through the process and the respective data is in the ranking unit 14 and multiplexer 16, the address of the pair of pixels with the smallest difference between them is used to provide an address for the multiplexer 16 to access the corresponding average value to be provided at the output of the pixels. This value is then used for the pixel to be reconstructed.

Usually pixel values which are used for deriving the averages and differences are luminance values.

In an alternative embodiment, the method operates as discussed above. However, the emphasis is on determining the minimum difference between the pairs of pixels. Once this has been determined, its average is considered and used for the pixel to be reconstructed. This occurs, irrespective of whether or not the average falls outside the range defined by the pixels directly above and below the pixel to be reconstructed. Preferably, when the average does fall outside this range, it is clamped to the upper or lower end of the range or to some point within the range, thus it cannot have a value outside the range.

A different solution for stage *d*, is to add an extra sub-step after finding the average corresponding to the most resembling pair of pixels. In this approach the average is not meant to be the colour of the pixel, but it is used to compute the distance in colour (difference) between said average and the colour of the pixel to reconstruct, whose value is determined with a different deinterlacing method. This distance, properly scaled, is used as a blending factor between the average itself and the colour of the pixel itself (previously reconstructed with extra deinterlacing methods). With this approach stage *c* is intended to be optional.

The method described above can be used in a filter to derive a progressive scan image from an interlaced image. Preferably it will be used in a system which selects the best way to reconstruct missing data in interlaced fields in dependence on the amount of motion present. When there is little motion present, data from adjacent fields can be used. When large amounts of motion are present, the procedure described above can be used. Preferably two or more methods of constructions are used in such a filter and a bleaching function is applied to these to vary the amounts they contribute to an output pixel in dependence on the amount of motion present.

CLAIMS

1. A method for deriving a progressive scan image from an interlaced image in which for each pixel to be inserted in a field from the interlaced image the method performs the steps of:
 - a) deriving a difference value from each pair of a set of pairs of symmetrically opposed pixels with respect to the pixel and from adjacent lines to the pixel to be inserted;
 - b) determining which pair of pixels has the lowest difference value associated with it; and
 - c) selecting as the value of the pixel to be inserted, the average of the pair of pixels which has the lowest difference value.
2. A method according to claim 1 wherein each of said set of pairs of pixels is composed of one pair only.
3. A method according to claim 1 wherein each of the sets of pairs of pixels comprises pixels in a plurality of rows.
4. A method according to claim 1 wherein each of the sets of pixels comprises a plurality of pixels and has a centre at a reference point, adjacent to the pixel to be inserted.
5. A method according to claim 1 further including the step of comparing the average value of the pair of pixels with the lowest difference value with a range of values defined by the values of pixels above and below the pixel to be inserted, and clamping the value of the pixel to be inserted to a point in the range in dependence on the result of the comparison.
6. A method according to claim 1 including the step of first deriving average values for each pair of the set of pairs of pixels, determining which pairs have averages which fall within a range of values between the values of pixels above and below the pixel to be inserted, and in which steps b) and c) are performed only for pixels whose averages fall within this range.

7. Apparatus for deriving a progressive scan image from an interlaced image comprising means for deriving a difference value from each pair of a set of pairs of symmetrically app pixels with respect to a pixel to be inserted in the image from adjacent lines to the pixel to be inserted;

means for determining which pair of pixels has the lowest difference value associated with it; and

means for selecting as the value of the pixel to be inserted the average value of the pair of pixels which has the lowest difference value.

ABSTRACT

A method and apparatus are provided for deriving a progressive scan image from an interlaced image. In this, for each pixel to be inserted in the field from the interlaced image a difference value is derived from each pair of a set of symmetrically opposed pixels with respect to the pixel to be reconstructed and from adjacent lines to the pixel to be reconstructed. A determination is made as to which pair of pixels has the lowest difference value associated with it and the average value of this pixel is selected as a value of the pixel to be inserted.





Figure 1



Condition: $((Y_a \leq Y \leq Y_b) \vee (Y_a \geq Y \geq Y_b))$

Figure 2




Figure 3

NOT TO BE REPRODUCED




Figure 4